

Appl. No. 09/780,964
Amdt dated August 24,2005
Reply to Office Action of 04/27/2005

REMARKS

The Examiner rejected Claims 1-28 alleging that the claims were directed to non-statutory subject matter. In particular, the Examiner alleged that the claimed invention was not implemented on a specific apparatus and thus, the invention was not directed to the technological arts. The foregoing amendments to Claims 1 and 16 clarify that the claimed method can be implemented using a computer. It is submitted that the claimed invention is statutory.

CONCLUSION

If there are any issues that can be resolved via a telephone conference, the Examiner is invited to contact Brenda Holmes at 404.685.6799.

Respectfully submitted,



Brenda O. Holmes
Reg. No. 40,336
Attorney for Applicant's Assignee

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP
1100 Peachtree Street, Suite 2800
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-4530
Receptionist (404) 815-6500
Direct (404) 685 6799
Fax (404) 541 3244